Two-Particle Irreducible Effective Action in Gauge Theories

E. A. Calzetta[1]

The goal of this paper is to develop the formalism of the two-particle irreducible (2PI) (G. Baym (1962). *Physical Review* **127**, 1391; H. D. Dahmen and G. Jona Lasino (1962). *Nuovo Cimento A* **52**, 807; C. de Dominicis and P. Martin (1964). *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **5**, 14; J. Luttinger and J. Ward (1960). *Physical Review* **118**, 1417; B. Vanderheyden and G. Baym (1998). *Journal of Statistical Physics* **98**, 843; B. Vanderheyden and G. Baym (2000). In *Progress in Nonequilibrium Green's Functions*, World Scientific, Singapore). (or Cornwall–Jackiw–Tomboulis (CJT) (J. Cornwall, R. Jackiw, and E. Tomboulis (1974). *Physical Review D* **10**, 2428; U. Kraemmer and A. Rebhan (2004). *Reports on Progress in Physics* **67**, 351; R. Norton and J. Cornwall (1975). *Annals of Physics* **91**, 106) effective action (EA) in a way appropiate to its application to nonequilibrium gauge theories. We hope this review article will stimulate new work into this field.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to develop the formalism of the two-particle irreducible (2PI) (Baym, 1962; Dahmen and Jona Lasino, 1962; de Dominicis and Martin, 1964; Luttinger and Ward, 1960; Vanderheyden and Baym, 1998; Vanderheyden and Baym, 2000) (or Cornwall–Jackiw–Tomboulis (CJT) (Cornwall *et al*., 1974; Kraemnaer and Rebhan, 2004; Norton and Cornwall, 1975) effective action (EA) in a way appropiate to its application to nonequilibrium gauge theories. The usual formulation of the 2PIEA cannot be extended to these theories because of the special features of gauge invariance. There are two difficulties in particular which require consideration, namely, the existence of constraints linking the Schwinger functions of the theory among themselves (Mottola, 2003), and the peculiarities of the gauge-fixing procedure. The former are expressed by the so-called Takahashi–Ward or Slavnov–Taylor identities, which in turn derive

[1] Departamento de Fisica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad de Buenos Aires—Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina; e-mail: calzetta@df.uba.ar.

from the Zinn-Justin equation (see below) (Zinn-Justin, 1989). The latter raises the issue, exclusive to gauge theories, of the gauge-fixing dependence of theoretical constructs (Arrizabalaga and Smith, 2002; Baier *et al.*, 1992; Gerhold and Rebhan, 2003; Kobes *et al.*, 1991; Kobes and Kunstatter, 1989; Nielson, 1975). A clear understanding of this issue is essential to the physical interpretation of the theory.

Non equilibrium quantum field theory (Calzetta and Hu, 1988, 1995, 2000; Danielewicz, 1984; Kadanoff and Baym, 1962) has evolved in the last few years mostly in the context of nongauge field theories. In these studies, two tools have proved extremely valuable, namely the closed time path (CTP), IN–IN or Schwinger–Keldish formalism (Bakshi and Mahanthappa, 1963; Barvinsky and Vilkovisky, 1987; Chou *et al.*, 1985; Keldysh, 1964; Schwinger, 1961) and the 2PI or CJT EA. The former allows us to study the causal evolution of quantum fields, in contradistinction to the IN–OUT or mixed advanced and retarded boundary conditions appropiate to the study of scattering processes. The latter provides a comprehensive framework where various nonperturbative approaches may be most efficiently implemented. The need to go beyond simple-minded perturbation theory is an universal feature of high energy nonequilibrium processes in nonlinear theories.

When we survey the literature on gauge theories, we find that the one-particle ireducible (1PI) IN–OUT EA is a well-developed tool, which has found its way into most modern textbooks (Peskin and Schroeder, 1995; Weinberg, 1996). The CTP formulation of gauge theories, although less widespread, has also been the subject of several important investigations and may be considered well understood (Geiger, 1996, 1997, 1999; Son, 1997). The 2PIEA, on the other hand, has only recently come under study (Berges, 2004, Carrington *et al.*, 2003; Mottola, 2003). We hope this review article will stimulate new work into this field.

Of course, the subject of non equilibrium gauge theories is so vast that it becomes impossible to make progress without some well-defined self-impossed limitations from the outset. We will restrict ourselves to Yang–Mills and to nonlinear abelian theories such as QED and SQED. We shall make no explicit attempt to discuss gravity, form fields, or string theories (Weinberg, 2000).

These self-imposed limitations in aims are correlated with some necessary a priori technical choices. We shall discuss only the path integral Fadeev–Popov quantization of gauge theories. Although we shall use BRST invariance at several stages, we shall not apply methods such as BRST or Batalin–Vilkovisky quantization, which really come on their own only in more demanding applications (Weinberg, 1996). We shall use DeWitt's notation and are deeply in debt to DeWitt's insights (Dewitt, 1964, 1967, 1979), but we shall not use the gauge-independent formulation of DeWitt and Vilkovisky (DeWitt, 1987; Vilkovisky, 1984) (on this subject, see the discussion in (Rebhan, 1987), nor more recent developments by DeWitt and collaborators (DeWitt and Molina-Paris, 1998).

When gauge symmetries are unbroken, there are no preferred directions in gauge space, and all background fields will vanish identically. Therefore, the only degrees of freedom in the 2PI formalism shall be the propagators or two-point functions. Also, there will be no need to distinguish between the usual and the DeWitt–Abbott gauge invariant EA (Abbott, 1981; Alexandrov, 1999; DeWitt, 1981; Hart, 1983), nor to introduce gauge-fixing conditions appropiate to the study of broken gauge theories, such as the Rξ family of gauges (Weinberg, 1996). We shall only assume that the gauge-fixing condition is linear on the quantum fields. On the other hand, we shall be completely general regarding group structure, matter content, (linear) gauge-fixing condition, and gauge-fixing parameter.

The main results of this paper are formulae (78) and (84) providing the definition of the 2PIEA for gauge theories. We shall then use this construction to discuss the Zinn–Justin identities and the gauge dependence of the propagators. These are in some sense known results, and we include them to help the reader to connect the 2PI to earlier formulations of non equilibrium field theories, and to better appreciate its power.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a review of the path integral approach to gauge theories, including the IN–OUT effective action and the Kugo canonical formulation (Hata and Kugo, 1980; Kugo and Ojima, 1979; Ojima, 1981). This section stablishes our notation, and sets the standard for the new developments that follows. Section 3 contains the main results, including the construction of the 2PIEA and the proof of its structure as the sum of 2PI Feynman graphs. In Section 4, we use the 2PIEA as starting point for the discussion of the Zinn–Justin (ZJ) identity. In Sections 5 and 6, we use the ZJ identity to study the gauge dependence and the structure of the propagators, respectively.

We have gathered in the Appendix some relevant formulae concerning Grassmann calculus. For more details, we refer the reader to the monographs by Berezin (1966), DeWitt (1984), and Negele and Orland (1998).

2. PATH INTEGRAL APPROACH

2.1. Gauge Theories

A guage theory contains "matter" fields ψ such that there are local (unitary) transformations g which are symmetries of the theory. The g's form a nonabelian (simple) group. Infinitesimal transformations may be written as $g = \exp[i\varepsilon]$, where the hermitian matrix ε may be expanded as a linear combination of "generators" $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^A T_A$. The generators form a closed algebra under commutation

$$[T_A, T_B] = iC_{AB}^C T_C \tag{1}$$

The structure constants C_{AB}^C are antisymmetric on A, B and satisfy the Jacobi identity.

Gauge invariance of kinetic terms within the Lagrangian means that derivatives are written in terms of the gauge covariant derivative operator $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i A_\mu$. The conexion $A_\mu = A_{\mu A} T^A$ transforms upon an infinitesimal gauge transformation as

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu + D_\mu \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

where

$$D_\mu \varepsilon = \partial_\mu \varepsilon - i[A_\mu, \varepsilon] \tag{3}$$

Covariant derivatives do not commute, but their commutator contains no derivatives

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -i F_{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

where the field tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu] \tag{5}$$

Upon a gauge transformation

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to F_{\mu\nu} + i[\varepsilon, F_{\mu\nu}] \tag{6}$$

therefore the object

$$\frac{-1}{4g^2} \mathrm{Tr} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \tag{7}$$

is gauge invariant. This is the classical Lagrangian density for the gauge fields, g being the coupling constant. The total action $S = S_0 + S_m$, where

$$S_0 = \int d^d x \left(\frac{-1}{4g^2}\right) \mathrm{Tr} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \tag{8}$$

and S_m is the gauge invariant action for the matter fields.

2.2. DeWitt's Notation

We may drop the distinction between gauge and matter fields, and consider a theory described by a string of fields ϕ^α invariant under infinitesimal transformations

$$\delta\phi^\alpha = T_A^\alpha[\phi]\varepsilon^A \tag{9}$$

The commutation rules are the statement that the commutator of two gauge transforms is also a gauge transform, namely

$$\frac{\delta T_A^\alpha[\phi]}{\delta\phi^\beta} T_B^\beta[\phi] - \frac{\delta T_B^\alpha[\phi]}{\delta\phi^\beta} T_A^\beta[\phi] = T_C^\alpha[\phi] C_{AB}^C \tag{10}$$

The classical equations of motion read

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^\alpha} = 0 \tag{11}$$

and because of gauge invariance we must have the identity

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^\alpha} T_A^\alpha[\phi] = 0 \tag{12}$$

2.3. The Vacuum to Vacuum Amplitude

In the quantum theory, we expect the vacuum to vacuum amplitude to be given by the IN–OUT path integral

$$Z = \int D\phi \, e^{iS} \tag{13}$$

However, this integral counts each history many times, and is ill defined.

To cure this problem, let f^A be functionals in history space which are not gauge invariant. This means that, given a history ϕ^α such that $f^A[\phi^\alpha] = 0$, and an infinitesimal gauge transform such that $f^A[\phi^\alpha + \delta\phi^\alpha] = 0$ too, the gauge transform must be trival; in other words

$$\text{Det}\left[\frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha} T_B^\alpha[\phi]\right] \neq 0 \tag{14}$$

Now let us call $\phi[\varepsilon]$ the result of applying a gauge transform parameterized by ε to the field configuration ϕ. Then we have the identity

$$\int D\varepsilon \, \text{Det}\left[\frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha}[\phi[\varepsilon]]T_B^\alpha[\phi[\varepsilon]]\right] \delta[f^A[\phi[\varepsilon]] - C^A] = 1 \tag{15}$$

where C^A may be anything, and we can write

$$Z = \int D\varepsilon \int D\phi \, \text{Det}\left[\frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha}[\phi[\varepsilon]]T_B^\alpha[\phi[\varepsilon]]\right] \delta[f^A[\phi[\varepsilon]] - C^A] \, e^{iS[\phi]} \tag{16}$$

Of course, $S[\phi] = S[\phi[\varepsilon]]$, and

$$D\phi[\varepsilon] = D\phi\left\{1 + \varepsilon^A \, \text{Tr} \frac{\delta T_A^\alpha[\phi]}{\delta \phi^\beta}\right\} \tag{17}$$

so, provided

$$\text{Tr} \frac{\delta T_A^\alpha[\phi]}{\delta \phi^\beta} = 0 \tag{18}$$

we find, up to a constant

$$Z = \int D\phi \, \text{Det} \left[\frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha} [\phi] T_B^\alpha [\phi] \right] \delta[f^A[\phi] - C^A] \, e^{iS[\phi]} \tag{19}$$

Since the C^A are arbitrary, any average over different choices will do too. For example, given a suitable metric we may take the Gaussian average

$$\int DC^A e^{-(i/2\xi)C^A C_A} \tag{20}$$

Intergrating over ξ and after a Fourier transform we find

$$Z = \int D\phi Dh_A \, \text{Det} \left[\frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha} [\phi] T_B^\alpha [\phi] \right] \exp \left\{ i \left[S[\phi] + h_A f^A[\phi] + \frac{\xi}{2} h^A h_A \right] \right\} \tag{21}$$

h_A is the Nakanishi–Lautrup (N-L) field (Nakanishi, 1966), and ξ the gauge-fixing parameter.

2.4. Ghosts

We may write the determinant as a functional integral

$$Z = \int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \, \exp \left\{ i \left[S[\phi] + h_A f^A[\phi] + \frac{\xi}{2} h^A h_A + i\chi_A \Delta^A \right] \right\} \tag{22}$$

$$\Delta^A = \frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha} [\phi] T_B^\alpha [\phi] \omega^B \tag{23}$$

The ω^B and χ_A are *independent* c-number Grassmann variables, namely the ghost and antighost fields, respectively. Following Kugo and Ojima (1979; Hata and Kugo, 1980; Ojima, 1981), and unlike Weinberg (1996), we have included a factor of i in the ghost Lagrangian, which is consistent with taking the ghosts as formally "Hermitian" and demanding the action to be "real."

We assign "ghost number" 1 to ω^B, and -1 to χ_A.

2.5. BRST Invariance

We may regard the functional

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S[\phi] + h_A f^A[\phi] + \frac{\xi}{2} h^A h_A + i\chi_A \Delta^A \tag{24}$$

as the action of a new theory, built from the original by adding the N-L, ghost, and antighost fields. By construction, this action is not gauge invariant in the original

sense. However, let us consider a gauge transform parameterized by $\theta\omega^B$, where θ is an anticommuting "constant," namely

$$\delta\phi^\alpha = \theta T_A^\alpha[\phi]\omega^A \tag{25}$$

Observe that, keeping the other fields invariant for the time being

$$\delta f^A[\phi] = \theta\Delta^A \tag{26}$$

$$\delta\Delta^A = \theta f_{\alpha\beta}^A T_B^\alpha[\phi]T_C^\beta[\phi]\omega^B\omega^C + \theta f_\alpha^A T_B^\alpha[\phi]_\beta T_C^\beta[\phi]\omega^C\omega^B \tag{27}$$

Since the ghosts are Grassmann, this becomes

$$\delta\Delta^A = \frac{1}{2}\theta f_\alpha^A T_D^\alpha[\phi]C_{BC}^D\omega^C\omega^B \tag{28}$$

These results suggest extending the definition of the transformation to

$$\delta h_A = 0 \tag{29}$$

$$\delta\chi_A = i\theta h_A \tag{30}$$

$$\delta\omega^D = \frac{1}{2}\theta C_{CB}^D\omega^C\omega^B \tag{31}$$

Then S_{eff} is invariant under this "BRST" transformation. Let us define the operator Ω

$$\Omega[X] = \frac{d}{d\theta}\delta X \tag{32}$$

The operator Ω increases "ghost number" by one. Obviously $\Omega^2[h_A] = \Omega^2[\chi_A] = 0$, but also

$$\Omega^2[\phi^2] = T_A^\alpha[\phi]_\beta T_B^\beta[\phi]\omega^B\omega^A + \frac{1}{2}T_A^\alpha[\phi]C_{CB}^A\omega^C\omega^B = 0 \tag{33}$$

$$\Omega^2[\omega^D] = \frac{1}{4}C_{CB}^D\big[C_{EF}^C\omega^E\omega^F\omega^B + C_{EF}^B\omega^C\omega^E\omega^F\big] = 0 \tag{34}$$

These properties imply that actually $\Omega^2 = 0$ *tout court* (see Weinberg, 1996). Also, observe that

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S_0 + \Omega[F] \tag{35}$$

where

$$S_0 = S[\phi] \tag{36}$$

is BRST invariant, and

$$F = -i\chi_A\left\{f^A[\phi] + \frac{1}{2}\xi h^A\right\} \tag{37}$$

(recall that $\Omega[F] = -i(\Omega[\chi_A]\{f^A[\phi] + \frac{1}{2}\xi h^A\} - \chi_A\Omega[f^A[\phi]])$). Also, observe that, provided

$$C^A_{AB} \equiv 0 \tag{38}$$

the functional volume element is also BRST invariant.

2.6. Gauge Invariance–Gauge Independence of the Vacuum to Vacuum Amplitude

It follows from the above that any gauge-fixing dependence (that is, dependence on the choice of the gauge-fixing condition f^A, gauge-fixing parameter ξ or the metric used to raise indexes in the N-L field) may only come from a dependence upon changes in the functional F. Any such change induces a perturbation

$$\delta Z = i \int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \Omega[\delta F] \exp\{i S_{\text{eff}}\} \tag{39}$$

Now, call X^r the different fields in the theory. Then

$$\Omega[\delta F] = (-1)^{g_r+1} \delta F_r \Omega[X^r] \tag{40}$$

where g_r is the corresponding ghost number. Integrating by parts (see, Gomis *et al.*, 1995), and *provided the surface term vanishes*, we get

$$\delta Z = i \int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \delta F \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta X^r} \exp\{i S_{\text{eff}}\}\Omega^\bullet[X^r] \right\} \tag{41}$$

But the brackets vanish, because of BRST invariance of S_{eff} and because $\Omega[X^r]$ is divergence-free. Therefore the *physicality condition* is that the flux of any vector pointing in the direction of $\Omega[X^r]$ over the boundary of the space of field configurations must vanish.

This shows by the way that F could be any expression of ghost number -1, since S_{eff} must have ghost number zero.

2.7. IN–OUT, Vacuum Effective Action

Let us write the generating functional for connected Feynman graphs

$$\exp\{iW\} = \int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \exp\{i[S_{\text{eff}} + J_r X^r]\} \tag{42}$$

and define the IN–OUT effective action as its Legendre transform

$$\Gamma[X^\alpha] = W[J] - J_r X^r \tag{43}$$

Performing a change of variables within the path integral corresponding to a BRST transformation, the generating functional cannot change, and therefore

$$\int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \, \exp\{i[S_{\text{eff}} + J_r X^r]\} J_r \Omega[X^r] = 0 \tag{44}$$

but

$$J_r = -\Gamma_r \tag{45}$$

and so we obtain the Zinn-Justin equation

$$\Gamma_{,r} \langle \Omega[X^r] \rangle = 0 \tag{46}$$

where

$$\langle R \rangle = \exp\{-iW\} \int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \, \exp\{i[S_{\text{eff}} + J_r X^r]\} R \tag{47}$$

On the other hand, if we simply change the functional F in S_{eff} by an amount δF, we get, holding the sources constant

$$\delta W = \exp\{-iW\} \int D\omega^B D\chi_A D\phi Dh_A \Omega[\delta F] \, \exp\{i[S_{\text{eff}} + J_r X^r]\} \tag{48}$$

and repeating the previous argument

$$\delta W = i\Gamma_r \langle \delta F \Omega[X^r] \rangle \tag{49}$$

Of course, if we hold the background fields constant, the sources will change by an amount δJ_r. However, this extra variation does not contribute to the Legendre transform, and so

$$\delta\Gamma = i\Gamma_{,r} \langle \delta F; \Omega[X^r] \rangle \tag{50}$$

2.8. The Canonical Approach and Nonvacuum States

In this section, we shall consider the concrete case where $\phi^\alpha = A_\mu^A$, $f_\alpha^A = \delta_B^A \partial_\mu$ and $T_B^\alpha = \delta_B^A \partial_\mu + C_{CB}^A A_\mu^C$. We can write S_{eff} explicitly

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{-1}{4g^2} F^{A\mu\nu} F_{A\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu h_A A^{\mu A} \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{\xi}{2} h^A h_A + i \partial_\mu \chi_A [\delta_B^A \partial_\mu + i C_{CB}^A A_\mu^C] \omega^B \right\} \tag{51}$$

If we take $A_{Aa}(a = 1, 2, 3)$, h_A, χ_A, and ω^A as canonical variables, then we may identify the corresponding momenta (Hata and Kugo, 1980; Kugo and Ojima,

1979; Ojima, 1981)

$$p_\phi^{Aa} = \frac{1}{g^2} F^{Aa0}; \quad p_h^A = -A^{A0}; \quad p_\chi^A = -i\left[\delta_B^A \partial_0 + C_{CB}^A A_0^C\right]\omega^B; \quad p_{\omega A} = i\partial_0 \chi_A$$

(52)

and impose the ETCCRs

$$[p_{Xr}, X^s]_{\mp} = -i\delta_s^r$$

(53)

where we use anticommutators for ghost fields and momenta, and commutators for all other cases.

The BRST invariance of S_{eff} implies the conservation of the Noether current

$$j^\mu = \Omega[X^r]\frac{\delta L_{\text{eff}}}{\delta \partial_\mu X^r}$$

(54)

We define the BRST charge

$$\Omega = \int d^3x \, \Omega[X^r] p_{Xr}$$

(55)

This is the generator of BRST transforms, since

$$\delta X^r = \theta \Omega[X^r] = i[\theta\Omega, X^r]$$

(56)

(since θ is Grassman, we use commutators throughout). Then $\Omega^2 = 0$.

S_{eff} is also invariant upon the scale transformation

$$\omega^B \to e^\lambda \omega^B, \qquad \chi^B \to e^{-\lambda}\chi^B$$

(57)

The corresponding generator

$$Q = \int d^3x \left\{\omega^B p_{\omega B} - \chi_A p_\chi^A\right\}$$

(58)

is the *ghost charge*. Ghost charge is bosonic, so $[Q, Q] = 0$. On the other hand, Ω has ghost charge 1, so

$$i[Q, \Omega] = \Omega$$

(59)

Both Q and $\theta\Omega$ commute with the effective Hamiltonian.

Observables are BRST invariant, and so they commute with $\theta\Omega$. Physical states are also BRST invariant, therefore annihilated by Ω. Physical states differing by a BRST transform are physically indistinguishable, in the sense that they lead to the same matrix elements for all observables, and so we may add the condition that a physical state $|\alpha\rangle$ is BRST-closed ($\Omega|\alpha\rangle = 0$) but not exact (there is no $|\beta\rangle$ such that $|\alpha\rangle = \Omega|\beta\rangle$).

One-particle unphysical states come in tetrads (Hata and Kugo, 1980; Kugo and Ojima, 1979; Ojima, 1981). Let $|N\rangle$ be a one-particle unphysical state with

ghost charge N, i.e., $iQ|N\rangle = N|N\rangle$ (any further BRST invariant quantum numbers are irrelevant to the argument, and shall be omitted). Call $|N+1\rangle = \Omega|N\rangle$. Then $\Omega|N+1\rangle = 0$, and this implies that $|N+1\rangle$ has vanishing norm, since $\langle N+1|N+1\rangle = \langle N+1|\Omega|N\rangle = 0$. Let $|-N-1\rangle$ be the state "conjugated" to $|N+1\rangle$, in the sense that $\langle -N-1|N+1\rangle = 1$ (since iQ is hermitian, this state must have ghost charge $-N-1$. Now $\langle -N-1|\Omega|N\rangle = 1$ and so $|-N\rangle = \Omega|-N-1\rangle$ is conjugated to $|N\rangle$. Observe that, without loss of generality, we may assume that N is even.

Now let $a_M^\dagger$ be the corresponding creation operators, namely $|M\rangle = a_M^\dagger|0\rangle$ ($M = N, -N, N+1,$ or $-N-1$). Then $a_{N+1}^\dagger = [\Omega, a_N^\dagger]$ and $a_{-N}^\dagger = \{\Omega, a_{-N-1}^\dagger\}$. Now $\Omega^2 = 0$, so $\{\Omega, a_{N+1}^\dagger\} = [\Omega, a_{-N}^\dagger] = 0$. Because $|N+1\rangle$ and $|-N\rangle$ have vanishing norms, we must have $\{a_{N+1}, a_{N+1}^\dagger\} = [a_{-N}, a_{-N}^\dagger] = 0$; the conjugacy relations imply $\{a_{-N-1}, a_{N+1}^\dagger\} = [a_{-N}, a_N^\dagger] = 1$. The a_M's are destruction operators, but a_N destroys the $|-N\rangle$ (rather than the $|N\rangle$) state, a_{N+1} destroys $|-N-1\rangle$, etc.

It follows that the projection operator P_1 over the subspace of states with exactly one unphysical particle may be written in terms of the projector P over physical states as

$$P_1 = a_{-N}^\dagger P a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N} + a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_{N+1} + a_{N+1}^\dagger P a_{-N-1} \qquad (60)$$

But then

$$P_1 = \Omega[a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N-1}] + a_{-N-1}^\dagger \Omega P a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N}$$

$$+ a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_{N+1} - a_N^\dagger \Omega P a_{-N-1}$$

$$= \Omega[a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N-1}] + a_{-N-1}^\dagger P \Omega a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N}$$

$$+ a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_{N+1} - a_N^\dagger P \Omega a_{-N-1}$$

$$= \Omega[a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N-1}] + a_{-N-1}^\dagger P \Omega a_N + a_N^\dagger P \{\Omega, a_{-N-1}\}$$

$$- a_{-N-1}^\dagger P [\Omega, a_N] - a_N^\dagger P \Omega a_{-N-1}$$

$$= \{\Omega, a_{-N-1}^\dagger P a_N + a_N^\dagger P a_{-N-1}\} \qquad (61)$$

Repeating identical arguments for the spaces with n unphysical particles, we conclude that the projector P' orthogonal to P has the form $P' = \{\Omega, R\}$, where R is some operator with ghost number -1.

We may now deal with the construction of statistical operators in gauge theories. In principle, a physical statistical operator should shield nonzero probabilities only for physical states, and so it should satisfy $\rho = P\rho = \rho P$. This is a much stronger requirement than BRST invariance $[\Omega, \rho] = 0$. So, given a BRST invariant density matrix ρ, we ought to define the physical expectation value of any

(BRST invariant) observable C as

$$\langle C \rangle_{\text{phys}} = \text{Tr}\,[P\rho C] \tag{62}$$

However, Kugo and Hata (1980) (KH) have shown that the same expectation values may be obtained by using the statistical operator $e^{-\pi Q}\rho$. The key to the argument is that the commutation relation $[iQ, \Omega] = \Omega$ implies that, if $|N\rangle$ is an eigenstate of iQ with eigenvalue N, then $\Omega|N\rangle$ has eigenvalue $N + 1$. It follows that $\{e^{-\pi Q}, \Omega\} = 0$, since $e^{-\pi Q} = e^{i\pi(iQ)}$. We then find that, for any BRST invariant observable C

$$\langle C \rangle_{\text{phys}} = \text{Tr}\,[P\rho C] = \text{Tr}\,[Pe^{-\pi Q}\rho C] = \text{Tr}\,[e^{-\pi Q}\rho C] - \text{Tr}\,[\{\Omega, R\}e^{-\pi Q}\rho C] \tag{63}$$

We must show that the second term vanishes, and this follows from $\{e^{-\pi Q}, \Omega\} = 0$ and $[\Omega, \rho C] = 0$.

This suggest to define the expectation value $\langle C \rangle$ of any observable as $\langle C \rangle = \text{Tr}\,[e^{-\pi Q}\rho C]$. Of course, this agrees with the physical expectation value only if C is BRST invariant. For example, the partition function computed from $e^{-\pi Q}\rho$ agrees with the partition function defined by tracing only over physical states, but the generating functionals obtained by adding sources coupled to non-BRST invariant operators will in general be different.

The advantages of the Kugo–Hata ansatz are clearly seen by considering the form of the KMS theorem appropiate to the ghost propagator. Let us define

$$G_{AB}^{ab}(x, x') = \langle P[\chi_A^a(x)\,\omega_B^b(x')] \rangle \tag{64}$$

where P is the usual (CTP)-ordering operator. Then

$$G_{AB}^{21}(x, x') = \langle \chi_A(x)\,\omega_B(x') \rangle \tag{65}$$

$$G_{AB}^{12}(x, x') = -\langle \omega_B(x')\,\chi_A(x) \rangle \tag{66}$$

(observe the sign change, associated to the anticommuting character of the ghost fields). The Jordan propagator is defined as $G = G^{21} - G^{12}$.

If we omitted the K-H $e^{-\pi Q}$ factor, we would reason, given $\rho = e^{-\beta H}$,

$$G_{AB}^{21}(x, x') \approx \text{Tr}\,[e^{-\beta H}\chi_A(x)\omega_B(x')]$$

$$= \text{Tr}\,[\chi_A(x + i\beta)\,e^{-\beta H}\omega_B(x')] = -G_{AB}^{12}(x + i\beta, x') \tag{67}$$

Therefore $G_{AB}^{21}(\omega) = -e^{\beta\omega}G_{AB}^{12}(\omega)$, leading to a Fermi–Dirac form of the thermal propagators.

This reasoning is incorrect. The proper way is

$$G_{AB}^{21}(x, x') = \text{Tr}\,[e^{-\pi Q}\chi_A(x + i\beta)\,e^{-\beta H}\omega_B(x')] = G_{AB}^{12}(x + i\beta, x') \tag{68}$$

So $G_{AB}^{21}(\omega) = e^{\beta\omega}G_{AB}^{12}(\omega)$, which leads to the Bose–Einstein form.

Let us observe that in the path integral representation, the K-H factor does not appear explicitly, but only changes the boundary conditions on ghost fields from antiperiodic to periodic.

We conclude that in this formalism, unphysical degrees of freedom and ghosts get thermal corrections, both being of the Bose–Einstein form, in spite of the ghosts being fermions (for which reason ghost loops do get a minus sign). For an alternative formulation, see (Landshoff and Rebhan, 1992, 1993).

3. THE 2PI FORMALISM APPLIED TO GAUGE THEORIES

We can now begin with our real goal, namely, the application of the 2PI CTP formalism to gauge theories. We shall proceed with a fair amount of generality, only assuming that the gauge condition is linear, and also the gauge generators $T_A^\alpha[\phi] = T_{0A}^\alpha + T_{1A\beta}^\alpha \phi^\beta$.

The classical action is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S[\phi] + h_A f^A[\phi] + \frac{\xi}{2} h^A h_A + i \chi_A \frac{\delta f^A}{\delta \phi^\alpha}[\phi] T_B^\alpha[\phi] \omega^B \tag{69}$$

To this we add sources coupled to the individual degrees of freedom and also to their products

$$X^r J_r + \frac{1}{2} X^r \mathbf{K}_{rs} X^s = j_\alpha x^\alpha + \theta^u \lambda_u + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{uv} \theta^u \theta^v + \theta^u \psi_{u\alpha} x^\alpha \tag{70}$$

where x^α represents the bosonic degrees of freedom (ϕ, h) and θ the Grassmann ones (ω, χ), and we introduce the definition $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha u} = -\mathbf{K}_{u\alpha}$. Observe that j, κ, and σ are normal, while λ and ψ are Grassman. σ is antisymmetric.

We therefore define the generating functional

$$e^{iW} = \int DX^r \exp\left\{i\left[S_{\text{eff}} + X^r J_r + \frac{1}{2} X^r \mathbf{K}_{rs} X^s\right]\right\} \tag{71}$$

Note that the information about the intial state is implicit in the integration measure, and will reappear only as an initial condition on the equations of motion.

We find

$$W \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta J_r} = \bar{X}^r \tag{72}$$

$$W \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \mathbf{K}_{rs}} = \frac{\theta^{sr} \theta^s}{2} [\bar{X}^r \bar{X}^s + \mathbf{G}^{rs}] \tag{73}$$

where we introduce the bookkeeping devise $\theta^r = (-1)^{q_r}$, where q_r is the ghost charge of the corresponding field, and $\theta^{rs} = (-1)^{q_r q_s}$.

We define the Legendre transform

$$\Gamma = W - \bar{X}^r J_r - \frac{1}{2}\bar{X}^r \mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s - \frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{K}_{rs} \tag{74}$$

whereby

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\Gamma = \theta^{rs}\left[W\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta J_s}\right]\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}J_s\right] + \theta^{rs}\theta^{rt}\left[W\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \mathbf{K}_{st}}\right]\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\mathbf{K}_{st}\right]$$

$$- J_r - \theta^{rs}\bar{X}^s\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}J_s\right] - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{rs}\bar{X}^s\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\mathbf{K}_{st}\right]\bar{X}^t$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\theta^{sr}\bar{X}^s\mathbf{K}_{sr} - \frac{\theta^{st}\theta^t}{2}\theta^{rs}\theta^{rt}\mathbf{G}^{st}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\mathbf{K}_{st}\right]$$

$$= \theta^{rs}\theta^{rt}\frac{\theta^{st}\theta^t}{2}\bar{X}^s\bar{X}^t\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\mathbf{K}_{st}\right] - J_r - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\theta^{rs}\bar{X}^s\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\mathbf{K}_{st}\right]\bar{X}^t - \frac{1}{2}\theta^r\bar{X}^s\mathbf{K}_{sr}$$

$$= -J_r - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s - \frac{1}{2}\theta^r\bar{X}^s\mathbf{K}_{sr} \tag{75}$$

Now observe that $\mathbf{K}_{sr} = \theta^r\theta^s\theta^{rs}\mathbf{K}_{rs}$. In the end

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\Gamma = -J_r - \mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s \tag{76}$$

In the same way

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma = \theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\left[W\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta J_t}\right]\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}J_t\right] + \theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\theta^{rq}\theta^{sq}\left[W\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \mathbf{K}_{tq}}\right]\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\mathbf{K}_{tq}\right]$$

$$- \theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\bar{X}^t\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}J_t\right] - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\bar{X}^t\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\mathbf{K}_{tq}\right]\bar{X}^q$$

$$- \frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{K}_{rs} - \frac{\theta^{tq}\theta^q}{2}\theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\theta^{rq}\theta^{sq}\mathbf{G}^{tq}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\mathbf{K}_{tq}\right]$$

$$= \theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\theta^{rq}\theta^{sq}\frac{\theta^{tq}\theta^q}{2}\bar{X}^t\bar{X}^q\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\mathbf{K}_{tq}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{rt}\theta^{st}\bar{X}^t\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\mathbf{K}_{tq}\right]\bar{X}^q$$

$$- \frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{K}_{rs} = -\frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{K}_{rs} \tag{77}$$

3.1. The 2PIEA

In order to evaluate the 2PIEA, let us make the ansatz

$$\Gamma = \bar{S}[\bar{X}^r] + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{sr}\theta^s \mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{S}_{rs} - \frac{i}{2}\ln \text{sdet}\,[\mathbf{G}^{rs}] + \Gamma_2 - \frac{i}{2}\theta^s \mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{G}_{Rsr}^{-1}; \quad (78)$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_{rs} = \left[\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta X^r}\bar{S}\right]\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{X}^s} \quad (79)$$

and $\bar{S}$ is the classical action Eq. (69).

The corresponding ansatz for the sources are

$$J_r + \mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s = -\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}^r}\Gamma\right] = -\bar{S}_{,r} + J_{2r} \quad (80)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{rs} = -2\theta^{sr}\theta^s\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma\right] = -\mathbf{S}_{rs} + i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2rs} \quad (81)$$

The generating functional

$$W = \Gamma + \bar{X}^r J_r + \frac{1}{2}\bar{X}^r \mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s + \frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{K}_{rs}$$

$$= \bar{S}[\bar{X}^r] + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{sr}\theta^s \mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{S}_{rs} - \frac{i}{2}\ln \text{sdet}\,[\mathbf{G}^{rs}] + \Gamma_2 - \frac{i}{2}\theta^s \mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{G}_{Rsr}^{-1}$$

$$+ \bar{X}^r\big[-\bar{S}_{,r} + J_{2r}\big] - \frac{1}{2}\bar{X}^r\big[-\mathbf{S}_{rs} + i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2rs}\big]\bar{X}^s$$

$$+ \frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{G}^{rs}\big[-\mathbf{S}_{rs} + i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2rs}\big]$$

$$= \bar{S}[\bar{X}^r] - \frac{i}{2}\ln \text{sdet}\,[\mathbf{G}^{rs}] + \Gamma_2 - \bar{X}^r$$

$$\times \left(\bar{S}_r - J_{2r} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{S}_{rs} - i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2rs})\bar{X}^s\right) + \frac{\theta^{sr}\theta^s}{2}\mathbf{G}^{rs}\mathbf{K}_{2rs} \quad (82)$$

so

$$(\text{sdet}\,[\mathbf{G}^{rs}])^{1/2}\exp\,[i\Gamma_2] = \int DX^r \exp i\bigg[S(X) + X^r(-\bar{S}_{,r} + J_{2r})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}X^r\big(-\mathbf{S}_{rs} + i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2rs}\big)X^s - X^r\big(-\mathbf{S}_{rs} + i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2rs}\big)\bar{X}^s$$

$$- \bar{S}[\bar{X}^r] + \bar{X}^r\left(\bar{S}_r - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{S}_{rs}\bar{X}^s + \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1}\bar{X}^s - J_{2r} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{2rs}\bar{X}^s\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{G}^{rs}\theta^{sr}\theta^s \mathbf{K}_{2rs}\bigg] \quad (83)$$

or else

$$e^{i\Gamma_2} = (\text{sdet}[\mathbf{G}^{rs}])^{-1/2} \int D\delta X^r \, \exp i \left[\Delta S + \frac{i}{2} \delta X^r \mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1} \delta X^s + \delta X^r J_{2r} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\theta^{sr} \theta^s}{2} [\delta X^r \delta X^s - \mathbf{G}^{rs}] K_{2rs} \right] \tag{84}$$

where

$$\Delta S = S[\bar{X}^r + \delta X^r] - \bar{S}[\bar{X}^r] - \delta X^r \bar{S}_{,r} - \frac{1}{2} \delta X^r \mathbf{S}_{rs} \delta X^s \tag{85}$$

Γ_2 is the sum of 2PI vacuum bubbles in a theory with free action $i\mathbf{G}_{Lrs}^{-1}$ and interacting terms coming from the cubic and quartic terms in the development of $\bar{S}$ around the mean fields.

In spite of appearances, the new term $\theta^s \mathbf{G}^{rs} \mathbf{G}_{Rsr}^{-1}$ is a constant. To see this, parametrize

$$\mathbf{G}^{rs} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\alpha\beta} & N^{v\alpha} \\ N^{u\beta} & M^{uv} \end{pmatrix} \tag{86}$$

leading to

$$\mathbf{G}_{Rsr}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_{\alpha\beta} & \bar{N}_{v\alpha} \\ \bar{N}_{u\beta} & M_{uv} \end{pmatrix} \tag{87}$$

Then, because they are inverses, we must have

$$H^{\alpha\beta} \bar{H}_{\beta\gamma} + N^{v\alpha} \bar{N}_{v\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha$$

$$H^{\alpha\beta} \bar{N}_{v\beta} + N^{u\alpha} \bar{M}_{uv} = 0$$

$$N^{u\beta} \bar{H}_{\beta\gamma} + N^{uv} \bar{M}_{v\gamma} = 0$$

$$N^{u\beta} \bar{N}_{v\beta} + M^{uw} \bar{M}_{wv} = \delta_v^u \tag{88}$$

and therefore

$$\theta^s \mathbf{G}^{rs} \mathbf{G}_{Rsr}^{-1} = (H^{\alpha\beta} \bar{H}_{\beta\alpha} - N^{v\alpha} \bar{N}_{v\alpha}) + (N^{u\beta} \bar{N}_{u\beta} - M^{uv} \bar{M}_{vu})$$

$$= \delta_\alpha^\alpha - 2N^{v\alpha} \bar{N}_{v\alpha} - (\delta_u^u - 2N^{u\beta} \bar{N}_{u\beta}) = \delta_\alpha^\alpha - \delta_u^u \tag{89}$$

independent of $\mathbf{G}^{rs}$. It may therefore be discarded.

3.2. The Reduced 2PIEA

Let us now investigate the Schwinger–Dyson equations

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r} \Gamma = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}} \Gamma = 0 \tag{90}$$

From Eq. (78) we get

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\bar{S}[\bar{X}^r] + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{pq}\theta^q\theta^{rp}\theta^{rq}\mathbf{G}^{pq}\frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\mathbf{S}_{pq} + \frac{\delta}{\delta X^r}\Gamma_2 = 0$$

$$\theta^{sr}\theta^s\mathbf{S}_{rs} - i\theta^r\theta^{rs}\left(\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}\right)_{rs} + 2\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma_2 = 0 \qquad (91)$$

The second set of equations may be rewritten as

$$\theta^{sr}\theta^s\mathbf{S}_{rs} - i\theta^r\left(\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right)_{sr} + 2\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma_2 = 0 \qquad (92)$$

and finally as

$$\mathbf{S}_{rs} - i\left(\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right)_{rs} + 2\theta^{sr}\theta^s\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma_2 = 0 \qquad (93)$$

The classical action is given by Eq. (69). If we expand $X^r = \bar{X}^r + \delta X^r$, then the quadratic terms are

$$\bar{S}^{(2)} = \bar{S}_c^{(2)}[\bar{\phi}, \delta\phi] + \delta h_A f_\alpha^A \delta\phi^\alpha + \frac{\xi}{2}\delta h^A \delta h_A + i\delta\chi_A f_\alpha^A T_B^\alpha[\bar{\phi}]\delta\omega^B$$

$$+ i\bar{\chi}_A f_\alpha^A T_{1B\beta}^\alpha \delta\phi^\beta \delta\omega^B + i\delta\chi_A f_\alpha^A T_{1B\beta}^\alpha \delta\phi^\beta \bar{\omega}^B \qquad (94)$$

The cubic and quartic terms are

$$\bar{S}^{(3+)} = S_c^{(3+)}[\bar{\phi}, \delta\phi] + i\delta\chi_A f_\alpha^A T_{1B\beta}^\alpha \delta\phi^\beta \delta\omega^B \qquad (95)$$

Observe that Γ_2 is independent of the gauge-fixing parameter, and that there are no h field lines. To take advantage of this fact, it is convenient not to couple sources to the h field. In this way, Γ_2 is independent of the h field, and the respective variations are exact, namely

$$f_\alpha^A \bar{\phi}^\alpha + \xi\bar{h}^A = 0$$

$$\xi\delta_{AB} - i\left[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right]_{hhAB} = 0$$

$$f_\alpha^A - i\left[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right]_{h\phi\alpha}^A = 0$$

$$\left[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right]_{h\omega B}^A = \left[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right]_{h\chi B}^A = 0 \qquad (96)$$

We may then write the equations

$$[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{h\phi A\beta}G_{\phi X}^{\beta r} + [\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{hhAB}G_{hX}^{Br} = \delta_{Xh}c\delta_A^C \qquad (97)$$

$$f_\beta^A G_{\phi X}^{\beta r} + \xi G_{hX}^{Ar} = i\delta_{Xh}c\delta^{AC} \qquad (98)$$

namely

$$G_{hX}^{Ar} = \frac{-1}{\xi}f_\beta^A G_{\phi X}^{\beta r}, \quad X = \phi, \chi, \omega \qquad (99)$$

and

$$G_{hhA}^C = \frac{1}{\xi}\left[-f_{A\beta}G_{\phi h}^{\beta C} + i\delta_A^C\right] = \frac{1}{\xi}\left[\frac{1}{\xi}f_{A\beta}f_\gamma^C G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta C} + i\delta_A^C\right] \qquad (100)$$

which is of course what we expect from the N-L field being Gaussian. We could use these formulae to actually eliminate the N-L field from the 2PIEA, thus obtaining a reduced effective action.

We shall assume that all fields with nonzero ghost number vanish, i.e.,

$$\bar{\omega} = \bar{\chi} = G_{\omega\omega} = G_{\chi\chi} = G_{\omega\phi} = G_{\omega h} = G_{\chi\phi} = G_{\chi h} = 0 \qquad (101)$$

Since the effective action itself has zero ghost number, it cannot contain terms linear on any of the above, and therefore this condition is consistent with the equations of motion.

Given these conditions, we have, besides the equations determining the h propagators, the further equations

$$[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta}G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\gamma} + [\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi h\alpha B}G_{h\phi}^{B\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$$

$$[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta}G_{\phi h}^{\beta C} + [\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi h\alpha B}G_{hh}^{BC} = 0 \qquad (102)$$

leading to

$$\left[[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta} + \frac{i}{\xi}f_{B\alpha}f_\beta^B\right]G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$$

$$\left[[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta} + \frac{i}{\xi}f_{B\alpha}f_\beta^B\right]G_{\phi h}^{\beta C} = \frac{1}{\xi}f_{B\alpha}\delta^{BC} \qquad (103)$$

The second one gives nothing new, and the first yields

$$[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta} = \left[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\right]_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{\xi}f_{B\alpha}f_\beta^B \qquad (104)$$

so, finally we get the equation for the gluon propagator

$$S_{c,\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\xi}f_{B\alpha}f_\beta^B - i\left[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\right]_{\alpha\beta} + 2\frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta G_{\phi\phi}^{\alpha\beta}} = 0 \qquad (105)$$

The other nontrivial equation is

$$-if_\alpha^{A'}T_B^\alpha[\bar\phi] + i\left[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}\right]_{\omega\chi B}^{A'} + 2\frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta G_{\chi\omega A}^B} = 0 \qquad (106)$$

In deriving this equation we must consider $G_{\chi\omega A}^B$ and $G_{\omega\chi A}^B$ as independent quantities.

3.3. The Abelian Case

In the abelian case the classical action is quadratic, the 2PIEA is exact ($\Gamma_2 = 0$). Since we know that $S_{\alpha\beta}T_B^\alpha = 0$, it is natural to decompose $G^{\alpha\beta} = G_T^{\alpha\beta} + G_L^{\alpha\beta}$, where $f_\alpha^B G_T^{\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha\beta}G_L^{\beta\gamma} = 0$. Then

$$S_{\alpha\beta}G_T^{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{\xi}f_\alpha^B f_{B,\beta}G_L^{\beta\gamma} = i\delta_\alpha^\gamma \tag{107}$$

Observe that

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha = f_{B,\beta}\left[f_{B,\gamma}T_D^\gamma\right]^{-1}T_D^\alpha \tag{108}$$

satisfies $P_L^2 = P_L$ and kills vectors orthogonal to the gauge direction. In particular, $P_{L\gamma}^\alpha S_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, so

$$f_\delta^B f_{B,\beta}G_L^{\beta\gamma} = 4i\xi P_{L\delta}^\gamma \Rightarrow G_L^{\alpha\beta} = 4i\xi\left[f_\alpha^B f_{B,\gamma}\right]^{-1}P_{L\gamma}^\beta \tag{109}$$

and

$$S_{\alpha\beta}G_T^{\beta\gamma} = i\left(\delta_\alpha^\gamma - P_{L\alpha}^\gamma\right) \tag{110}$$

This shows that the dispersion relations in the transverse part are determined by the zeroes of the classical action, and are therefore gauge independent, unless $(\delta_\alpha^\gamma - P_{L\alpha}^\gamma)$ is pathological.

3.4. Some Explicit Formulae

As before, the classical action is given by Eq. (69), the quadratic terms by Eq. (94), and the cubic and quartic terms by Eq. (95), where

$$S_c^{(3+)}[\bar\phi, \delta\phi] = \frac{1}{6}S_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(3)}[\bar\phi]\delta\phi^\alpha\delta\phi^\beta\delta\phi^\gamma + \frac{1}{24}S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)}\delta\phi^\alpha\delta\phi^\beta\delta\phi^\gamma\delta\phi^\delta \tag{111}$$

The two loops approximation for Γ_2 reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{24}S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)}\langle\delta\phi^\alpha\delta\phi^\beta\delta\phi^\gamma\delta\phi^\delta\rangle_{2PI} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{72}S_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(3)}[\bar\phi]S_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}^{(3)}[\bar\phi]\langle\delta\phi^\alpha\delta\phi^\beta\delta\phi^\gamma\delta\phi^{\alpha'}\delta\phi^{\beta'}\delta\phi^{\gamma'}\rangle_{2PI} \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{2}f_\alpha^A T_{1B\beta}^\alpha f_{\alpha'}^{A'} T_{1B'\beta'}^{\alpha'}\langle\delta\chi_A\delta\phi^\beta\delta\omega^B\delta\chi_{A'}\delta\phi^{B'}\delta\omega^{B'}\rangle_{2PI} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)}G_{\phi\phi}^{\alpha\beta}G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\delta} + \frac{i}{12}S_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(3)}[\bar\phi]S_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}^{(3)}[\bar\phi]G_{\phi\phi}^{\alpha\alpha'}G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\beta'}G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\gamma'} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2}f_\alpha^A T_{1B\beta}^\alpha f_{\alpha'}^{A'} T_{1B'\beta'}^{\alpha'}G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\beta'}G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'}G_{\omega\chi A'}^B
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

We may now write the Schwinger–Dyson equations

$$0 = S_{c,\alpha} + \bar{h}_A f_\alpha^A + \frac{1}{2} S_{c,\alpha\beta\gamma}[\bar{\phi}] G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\gamma} + \frac{i}{2} f_\beta^A T_{1B\alpha}^\beta G_{\chi\omega A}^B$$

$$+ \frac{i}{6} S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)} S_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}^{(3)}[\bar{\phi}] G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\delta'} G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\beta'} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\gamma'}$$

$$0 = S_{c,\alpha\beta} - i[\mathbf{G}^{-1}]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta} + S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\delta} + \frac{i}{2} S_{\alpha\delta\gamma}^{(3)}[\bar{\phi}] S_{\beta\delta'\gamma'}^{(3)}[\bar{\phi}] G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\delta'} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\gamma'}$$

$$+ i f_\gamma^A T_{1B\alpha}^\gamma f_{\gamma'}^{A'} T_{1B'\beta}^{\gamma'} G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'} G_{\omega\chi A'}^B$$

$$0 = -i f_\alpha^{A'} T_B^\alpha[\bar{\phi}] + i[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}]_{\omega\chi B}^{A'} + i f_\alpha^A T_{1B\beta}^\alpha f_{\alpha'}^{A'} T_{1B'\beta'}^{\alpha'} G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\beta'} G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'} \qquad (113)$$

So finally we get the equation for the gluon propagator

$$0 = S_{c,\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\xi} f_{B\alpha} f_\beta^B - i[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} + S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\delta}$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2} S_{\alpha\delta\gamma}^{(3)}[\bar{\phi}] S_{\beta\delta'\gamma'}^{(3)}[\bar{\phi}] G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\delta'} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\gamma'} + i f_\gamma^A T_{1B\alpha}^\gamma f_{\gamma'}^{A'} T_{1B'\beta}^{\gamma'} G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'} G_{\omega\chi A'}^B \quad (114)$$

The other nontrivial equation is Eq. (113).

Let us assume there is a solution with $\bar{\phi} = 0$. Then, since $S_\alpha T_B^\alpha = 0$ but also $S_\alpha[0] = 0$, we have the identities

$$S_{\alpha\beta} T_B^\alpha + S_\alpha T_{1B\beta}^\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow S_{\alpha\beta} T_B^\alpha[0] = 0$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_B^\alpha + S_{\alpha\beta} T_{1B\gamma}^\alpha + S_{\alpha\gamma} T_{1B\beta}^\alpha = 0$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} T_B^\alpha + S_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_{1B\delta}^\alpha + S_{\alpha\beta\delta} T_{1B\gamma}^\alpha + S_{\alpha\gamma\delta} T_{1B\beta}^\alpha = 0 \qquad (115)$$

4. THE ZINN-JUSTIN EQUATION

We wish now to derive the Zinn-Justin equation appropiate to Γ_2. The key observation is that under a BRST transform within the path integral, only the source terms are really transformed. Therefore

$$\langle \Omega[X^r] \rangle J_r + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{rs} \theta^s \langle \Omega[X^r X^s] \rangle \mathbf{K}_{rs} = 0 \qquad (116)$$

The sources are

$$J_r + \mathbf{K}_{rs} \bar{X}^s = -\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}^r} \Gamma\right]$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{rs} = -2\theta^{sr} \theta^s \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}} \Gamma\right] \qquad (117)$$

leading to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle\Omega[X^r]\rangle\left[\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{X}^r} + \mathbf{K}_{rs}\bar{X}^s\right] - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{rs}\theta^s\langle\Omega[X^rX^s]\rangle\mathbf{K}_{rs}\\
&= \langle\Omega[X^r]\rangle\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{X}^r} + \theta^{rs}\theta^s\left[\langle\Omega[X^r]\rangle\bar{X}^s - \frac{1}{2}\langle\Omega[X^rX^s]\rangle\right]\mathbf{K}_{rs}\\
&= \langle\Omega[X^r]\rangle\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{X}^r} + [\langle\Omega[X^rX^s]\rangle - 2\langle\Omega[X^r]\rangle\bar{X}^s]\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{118}
$$

For simplicity, we shall assume that all background fields vanish.

Since the Z-J operator has ghost number 1, it makes no sense to assume that all quantities with nonzero ghost number vanish, as we have done in the previous section. However, we may still "turn on" these quantities one by one, and thus obtain partial Z-J identities. For example, we get three identities relating quantities with zero ghost number by requring that the coefficients of $\bar{\omega}$ and $G_{\omega\phi}$ vanish (we shall not investigate the first, as we are assuming no nonzero backgrounds, and we are working throughout with the reduced 2PIEA). This means that we may still set

$$
\bar{\omega} = \bar{\chi} = G_{\omega\omega} = G_{\chi\chi} = G_{\chi\phi} = G_{\chi h} = 0
\tag{119}
$$

and retain only terms linear in $G_{\omega\phi}$ and $G_{\omega h}$. In this approximation, terms with ghost number neither 0 or 1 must vanish identically, so

$$
\langle\Omega[\omega^D]\rangle = \langle\Omega[\omega^D\omega^E]\rangle = \langle\Omega[h_A\omega^D]\rangle = \langle\Omega[\phi^\alpha\omega^D]\rangle = \langle\Omega[\chi_A\chi_B]\rangle = 0
\tag{120}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\omega^D} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{\phi\omega}^{\alpha D}} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{h\omega A}^{D}} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{\omega\omega}^{DE}} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{\chi\chi AB}} = 0
\tag{121}
$$

Also, since there are no preferred directions in gauge space, objects with a single gauge index must vanish out of symmetry, and therefore

$$
\langle\Omega[\phi^\alpha]\rangle = \langle\Omega[h^A]\rangle = \langle\Omega[\chi_A]\rangle = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{\phi}^\alpha}[0] = 0
\tag{122}
$$

Finally, observe that at zero external sources,

$$
\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta h^A} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{\phi h B}^{\alpha}} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{h\chi AB}} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G_{hhAB}} \equiv 0
\tag{123}
$$

In other words, from the terms in Eq. (118) we keep the terms in $\phi\phi$, $\phi\chi$, and $\chi\omega$ only.

Equation (118) must vanish at the physical point, since each coefficient vanishes. What is remarkable is that it vanishes identically, even if $G_{\phi\omega}^{\alpha A} \neq 0$. Now $\delta\Gamma/\delta G_{\phi\phi}^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\delta\Gamma/\delta G_{\omega\chi A}^{B}$ have ghost number zero, and therefore contain no terms

linear in $G^{\alpha A}_{\phi\omega}$. We conclude that, to linear order in $G^{\alpha A}_{\phi\omega}$, we may write

$$\langle\Omega[\phi^\alpha\chi_A]\rangle\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G^{\alpha A}_{\phi\chi}}\approx 0 \tag{124}$$

Where $\approx$ means up to terms proportional to the equations of motion.
The transforms involve cubic terms

$$\Omega[\phi^\alpha\chi_A]=T^\alpha_B[\phi]\omega^B\chi_A+i\phi^\alpha h_A \tag{125}$$

The corresponding expectation values may be computed by adding to the classical action a new BRST-invariant source term

$$\bar{\kappa}^A_{\phi\chi\alpha}\Omega[\phi^\alpha\chi_A] \tag{126}$$

so we can write explicitly the action

$$\bar{S}=S_c[\phi]+h_A f^A_\alpha\phi^\alpha+\frac{\xi}{2}h^A h_A+i\chi_A f^A_\alpha T^\alpha_B[\phi]\omega^B$$
$$+\bar{\kappa}^A_{\phi\chi\alpha}\big[T^\alpha_B[\phi]\omega^B\chi_A+i\phi^\alpha h_A\big] \tag{127}$$

The quadratic terms are

$$\bar{S}^{(2)}=S^{(2)}_c[\phi]+h_A f^A_\alpha\phi^\alpha+\frac{\xi}{2}h^A h_A+i\chi_A f^A_\alpha T^\alpha_B[0]\omega^B$$
$$+\bar{\kappa}^A_{\phi\chi\alpha}\big[T^\alpha_B[0]\omega^B\chi_A+i\phi^\alpha h_A\big] \tag{128}$$

The cubic and quartic terms are

$$\bar{S}^{(3+)}=S^{(3+)}_c[\phi]+i\chi_A f^A_\alpha T^\alpha_{1B\beta}\phi^\beta\omega^\beta+\bar{\kappa}^A_{\phi\chi\alpha}T^\alpha_{1B\beta}\phi^\beta\omega^B\chi_A \tag{129}$$

so the missing expectation value is (cf., Eq. (78))

$$\langle\Omega[\phi^\alpha\chi_A]\rangle=G^B_{\omega\chi A}T^\alpha_B[0]+iG^\alpha_{\phi hA}+\frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta\bar{\kappa}^A_{\phi\chi\alpha}} \tag{130}$$

and the Z-J identity reads

$$\left[G^B_{\omega\chi A}T^\alpha_B[0]+iG^\alpha_{\phi hA}+\frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta\bar{\kappa}^A_{\phi\chi\alpha}}\right]\frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta G^{\alpha A}_{\phi\chi}}\approx 0 \tag{131}$$

Now

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G^{\alpha A}_{\phi\chi}}=\frac{-i}{2}\big[\mathbf{G}^{-1}_L\big]_{\phi\chi\alpha A} \tag{132}$$

and

$$\big[\mathbf{G}^{-1}_L\big]_{\phi\chi\alpha A}=-\left[\big[\mathbf{G}^{-1}_L\big]_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta}+\frac{i}{\xi}f_{\alpha C}f^C_\beta\right]G^{B\beta}_{\omega\phi}\big[G^{-1}_R\big]_{xwAB}$$

$$\approx -\left[\mathbf{G}_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\right]_{\alpha\beta} G_{\omega\alpha}^{C\beta}\left[\mathbf{G}_{R}^{-1}\right]_{\chi\omega AC} \tag{133}$$

so

$$\left[T_C^\alpha[0]\left[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\right]_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{\xi}\left[\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}\right]_{\chi\omega AC} f_\beta^A + \left[\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}\right]_{\chi\omega C}^A \frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta\kappa_{\phi\chi\alpha}^A}\left[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\right]_{\alpha\beta}\right]$$
$$\times G_{\omega\phi}^{C\beta} \approx 0 \tag{134}$$

We must still compute the derivatives with respect to the external sources. Within the two loops approximation, we have

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_2}{\delta\bar{\kappa}_{\phi\chi\alpha}^A} = -\left\langle\left(\chi_F f_\gamma^F T_{1D\delta}^\gamma \phi^\delta\omega^D\right)T_{1E\rho}^\alpha \phi^\rho\omega^E \chi_A\right\rangle_{\text{2PI}}$$

$$= -f_\gamma^F T_{1D\delta}^\gamma T_{1E\rho}^\alpha G_{\chi wF}^E G_{\chi\omega A}^D G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\rho} \tag{135}$$

so finally

$$\left[\left[T_C^\alpha[0] - f_\gamma^F T_{1C\delta}^\gamma T_{1E\rho}^\alpha G_{\chi\omega F}^E G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\rho}\right]\left[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\right]_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{\xi}\left[\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}\right]_{\chi\omega AC} f_\beta^A\right] G_{\omega\phi}^{C\beta} \approx 0 \tag{136}$$

5. GAUGE DEPENDENCE OF THE PROPAGATORS

To investigate the gauge dependence of the 2PIEA, recall Eqs. (35), (36), and (37). Upon a change δF in the gauge fermion F, holding the background fields constant, we get

$$\delta\Gamma|_{\bar{X}^r,\mathbf{G}^{rs}} = \delta W|_{J_r\cdot\mathbf{K}_{rs}} \tag{137}$$

With the same argument as for the 1PIEA, this leads to

$$\delta\Gamma|_{\bar{X}^r,\mathbf{G}^{rs}} = i\left\{\langle\delta F\,\Omega[X^r]\rangle J_r + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{rs}\theta^s\right\}\langle\delta F\,\Omega[X^r X^s]\rangle\mathbf{K}_{rs} \tag{138}$$

Use Eq. (117) to get

$$\delta\Gamma|_{\bar{X}^r,\mathbf{G}^{rs}} = i\left\{\langle\delta F\,\Omega[X^r]\rangle\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{X}^r} + \left[\langle\delta F\,\Omega[X^r X^s]\rangle - 2\langle\delta F\,\Omega[X^r]\rangle\right]\bar{X}^s\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma\right]\right\} \tag{139}$$

As before, we shall assume that all background fields vanish and that at such a point Γ_r vanishes identically, so the above expression simplifes to

$$\delta\Gamma|_{\bar{X}^r,\mathbf{G}^{rs}} = Y^{rs}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma; \quad Y^{rs} = i\langle\delta F\,\Omega[X^r X^s]\rangle \tag{140}$$

At the physical point, the Schwinger–Dyson equations now read

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{tu}}\Gamma + Y^{rs}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{tu}\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma = 0 \tag{141}$$

Of course, the solution is now $\mathbf{G}^{tu} + \delta \mathbf{G}^{tu}$, so

$$\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \mathbf{G}^{tu}\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs}}\Gamma\right)[\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs} + Y^{rs}] = 0 \tag{142}$$

Since the Hessian is supposed to be invertible, we must have $\delta \mathbf{G}^{rs} = -Y^{rs}$.

Let us also assume that all propagators with nonzero ghost number vanish. Thus we are only concerned with

$$\delta\Gamma|_{\bar{X}^r,\mathbf{G}^{rs}} = \langle \delta F\,\Omega[\phi^\alpha\phi^\beta]\rangle\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G^{\alpha\beta}_{\phi\phi}} + 2\langle \delta F\,\Omega[\chi_A\omega^D]\rangle\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G^D_{\omega\chi A}}$$

$$\Omega[\phi^\alpha\phi^\beta] = T^\alpha_A[\phi]\omega^A\phi^\beta + \phi^\alpha T^\beta_A[\phi]\omega^A$$

$$\Omega[\chi_A\omega^D] = ih_A\omega^D - \frac{1}{2}\chi_A C^D_{CB}\omega^c\omega^B$$

$$\delta F = -i\chi_A\left\{\delta f^A[\phi] + \frac{1}{2}\delta\xi h^A\right\} \tag{143}$$

As before, we compute the corresponding expectation values by adding suitable sources to the action. These sources correspond to four- and five-legged vertices. Now, recall the conventional argument that

$$l - 1 = i - \sum v_n$$

$$2i - \sum nv_n = 0 \tag{144}$$

In our case, we have vertices with three, four, or five legs, and $l = 2$, so

$$2i - 3v_3 - 4v_4 - 5v_5 = 0$$

$$i = 1 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5$$

$$2 - v_3 - 2v_4 - 3v_5 = 0 \tag{145}$$

We are interested in the case where $v_5 = 0$ or 1. In the latter, we get an impossibility, so we must have $v_5 = 0$. Since we may discard the case when $v_4 = 0$ too, we must have $v_4 = 1$, $v_3 = 0$, $i = 2$. In other words, to two loops, the only contributions to the expectation values are those where there are only four fields involved, and these contract among themselves.

In conclusion,

$$\delta G^{\alpha\beta}_{\phi\phi} = -T^\alpha_A[0]\left[\delta f^B_\gamma\langle\chi_B\phi^\gamma\omega^A\phi^\beta\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\delta\xi\langle\chi_B h^B\omega^A\phi^\beta\rangle\right] + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$= -T_A^\alpha[0]\left[\delta f_\gamma^B - \frac{\delta\xi}{2\xi}f_\gamma^B\right]\langle\chi_B\phi^\gamma\omega^A\phi^\beta\rangle + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$= -T_A^\alpha[0]\left[\delta f_\gamma^B - \frac{\delta\xi}{2\xi}f_\gamma^B\right]G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\gamma}G_{\chi\omega B}^A + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) \tag{146}$$

We may also write

$$\delta G_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta}^{-1} = G_{\phi\phi\alpha\delta}^{-1}T_A^\delta[0]\left[\delta f_\beta^B - \frac{\delta\xi}{2\xi}f_\beta^B\right]G_{\chi\omega B}^A + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) \tag{147}$$

This shows that the zeroes of the inverse propagator are gauge invariant. To lowest order, the Z-J equation

$$\left[[T_C^\alpha[0] - f_\gamma^F T_{1C\delta}^\gamma T_{1E\rho}^\alpha G_{\chi\omega F}^E G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\rho}][G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{\xi}[G_R^{-1}]_{\chi\omega AC}f_\beta^A\right]G_{\omega\phi}^{C\beta} \approx 0 \tag{148}$$

implies

$$\delta G_{\phi\phi\alpha\beta}^{-1} = \frac{i}{\xi}[G_R^{-1}]_{\chi\omega AC}f_\alpha^C\left[\delta f_\beta^B - \frac{\delta\xi}{2\xi}f_\beta^B\right]G_{\chi\omega B}^A + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$= \frac{i}{\xi}\left[f_{B\alpha}\delta f_\beta^B + f_{B\beta}\delta f_\alpha^B - \frac{\delta\xi}{\xi}f_\beta^B f_{B\alpha}\right] \tag{149}$$

This is the result we wanted to show.

6. PROPAGATOR STRUCTURE

We shall try and apply the foregoing to clarify the structure of the two-loop propagators. Let us begin with the equation for the ghost propagator (cf., Eq. (113))

$$[\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}]_{\omega\chi B}^{A'} = f_\alpha^{A'}\left[T_B^\alpha[\bar\phi] - f_{\alpha'}^A T_{1B\beta}^{\alpha'} T_{1B'\beta'}^\alpha G_{\phi\phi}^{\beta\beta'}G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'}\right] \tag{150}$$

This suggests defining

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha = \left[T_B^\alpha[\bar\phi] - f_{\alpha'}^A T_{1B\gamma}^{\alpha'} T_{1B'\beta'}^\alpha G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\beta'}G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'}\right]G_{\omega\chi C}^B f_\beta^C \tag{151}$$

which is a projection operator

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha P_{L\gamma}^\beta = P_{L\gamma}^\alpha \tag{152}$$

Now consider the Takahashi–Ward identity (cf., Eq. (136))

$$\left[T_\beta^\alpha[0] - T_{1B'\beta'}^\alpha f_{\alpha'}^A T_{1B\gamma}^{\alpha'} G_{\chi\omega A}^{B'}G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\beta'}\right][G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}]_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{i}{\xi}[\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}]_{\chi\omega AB}f_\lambda^A \approx 0 \tag{153}$$

It becomes

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha \big[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{i}{\xi}\big[\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}\big]_{\chi\omega AB} f_\lambda^A G_{\omega\chi C}^B f_\beta^C \approx 0 \tag{154}$$

That is

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha \big[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{i}{\xi} f_\lambda^A f_{A\beta} \approx 0 \tag{155}$$

Therefore

$$\big[G_{\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\alpha\lambda} = \big[G_{T\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{i}{\xi} f_\lambda^A f_{A\alpha}, \quad P_{L\alpha}^\gamma \big[G_{T\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\gamma\lambda} \approx 0 \tag{156}$$

Comparing with the gauge-dependence identity Eq. (149), we see that the transverse part $[G_{T\phi\phi}^{-1}]_{\gamma\lambda}$ is gauge-fixing independent. Comparing with the equation of motion Eq. (114) we get

$$0 = S_{c,\alpha\beta} - i\big[G_{T\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\gamma\beta} + S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\delta} + \frac{i}{2} S_{\alpha\delta\gamma}^{(3)}[\bar\phi] S_{\beta\delta'\gamma'}^{(3)}[\bar\phi] G_{\phi\phi}^{\delta\delta'} G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\gamma'}$$

$$+ i f_\gamma^A T_{1B\alpha}^\gamma f_{\gamma'}^{A'} T_{1B'\beta}^{\gamma'} G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'} G_{\omega\chi A'}^B \tag{157}$$

The decomposition of the inverse propagators leads to a related decomposition of the propagators themselves. We have

$$\frac{i}{\xi} f_\gamma^A f_{A\lambda} G_{\phi\phi}^{\lambda\beta} = P_{L\gamma}^\beta \tag{158}$$

Therefore

$$G_{\phi\phi}^{\lambda\beta} = G_{T\phi\phi}^{\lambda\beta} - i\xi L_C^\lambda L^{C\beta}, \tag{159}$$

where

$$L_C^\lambda = \big[T_B^\lambda[\bar\phi] - f_{\alpha'}^A T_{1B\gamma}^{\alpha'} T_{1B'\beta'}^\lambda G_{\phi\phi}^{\gamma\beta'} G_{\omega\chi A}^{B'}\big] G_{\omega\chi C}^B, \tag{160}$$

and

$$f_{A\lambda} G_{T\phi\phi}^{\lambda\beta} = 0 \tag{161}$$

Observe that

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha = L_C^\alpha f_\beta^C \tag{162}$$

and so

$$P_{L\beta}^\alpha P_{L\gamma}^\beta = L_C^\alpha f_\beta^C L_D^\beta f_\gamma^D \Rightarrow f_\beta^C L_D^\beta = \delta_D^C \tag{163}$$

We now have

$$\Big[\big[G_{T\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{i}{\xi} f_\lambda^A f_{A\alpha}\Big]\big[G_{T\phi\phi}^{\lambda\beta} - i\xi L_C^\lambda L^{C\beta}\big] = \delta_\alpha^\beta \tag{164}$$

so

$$\big[G_{T\phi\phi}^{-1}\big]_{\alpha\lambda} G_{T\phi\phi}^{\lambda\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\beta - P_{L\alpha}^\beta \tag{165}$$

APPENDIX: GRASSMANN CALCULUS

To be definite, we shall collect several known results concerning Grassmann calculus, which are necessary for the evaluation of the 2PIEA. For more details, we refer the reader to the monographs by Berezin (1966), DeWitt (1984), and Negele and Orland (1998).

Let us consider a set of independent Grassmann variables ξ^u. We define the left derivative $\partial/\partial\xi^u$ from the properties $\{\partial/\partial\xi^u, \xi^v\} = \delta^v_u, \partial 1/\partial\xi^u = 0$.

We also define Grassmann integrals

$$\int d\xi^u \xi^v = \delta^{uv}, \quad \int d\xi^u 1 = 0 \tag{A1}$$

Observe that if $\xi = a\eta$, then $d\zeta = a^{-1} d\eta$ (this follows from $\int d\xi\, \xi = \int d\eta\, \eta$). This allows us to prove the basic Gaussian integration formula

$$\int d^n\xi \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v\right\} \propto \sqrt{\det M} \tag{A2}$$

Let us now consider the more general expression

$$\int d^n\xi \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v + i\theta_v\xi^v\right\} \tag{A3}$$

where the θ's are themselves Grassmann. Then, since M must be antisymmetric,

$$\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v - 2i\theta_v\xi^v = \left(\xi^v - i(M^{-1})^{uw}\theta_w\right)M_{uv}$$
$$\times \left(\xi^v - i(M^{-1})^{vx}\theta_x\right) - \theta_u(M^{-1})^{uv}\theta_v \tag{A4}$$

so

$$\int d^n\xi \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v + i\theta_v\xi^v\right\} \propto \sqrt{\det M} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\theta_u(M^{-1})^{uv}\theta_v\right\} \tag{A5}$$

By differentiation, we get

$$\int d^n\xi\,\xi^u\xi^v \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u m_{uv}\xi^v\right\} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^v\partial\theta^u}\int d^n\xi$$
$$\times \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v + i\theta_v\xi^v\right\}_{\theta=0}$$
$$\propto \sqrt{\det M}\,(M^{-1})^{uv} \tag{A6}$$

This also follows from an integration by parts

$$\int d^n\xi\,\xi^u\xi^v \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v\right\} = (M^{-1})^{vw}\int d^n\xi\,\xi^u M_{wx}\xi^x \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2}\xi^u M_{uv}\xi^v\right\}$$

$$= (M^{-1})^{wv} \int d^n \xi \, \xi^u \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^w} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} \xi^u M_{uv} \xi^v \right\}$$

$$= (M^{-1})^{wv} \int d^n \xi \left[\frac{\partial \xi^u}{\partial \xi^w} \right] \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} \xi^u M_{uv} \xi^v \right\} \quad \text{(A7)}$$

Observe that integrating by parts a Grassmann variable does not change the sign.

We are interested in quadratic forms involving both Grassmann and normal variables. Let $X^r = (x^\alpha, \xi^u)$, where the x's are normal, and consider the expression: $X^r M_{rs} X^s := x^\alpha H_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta + \xi^u M_{uv} \xi^v + 2\xi^v N_{v\alpha} x^\alpha$. We shall call the object

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{\alpha\beta} & -N_{v\alpha} \\ N^{u\beta} & M^{uv} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(A8)}$$

a supermatrix. Observe that H is normal and symmetric, M is normal antisymmetric, and N is Grassmann. Suppose the supermatrix $\mathbf{M}$ has a (right) inverse $\mathbf{M}_R^{-1}$ with elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}^{\alpha\beta} & \bar{N}^{v\alpha} \\ -\bar{N}_{u\beta} & \bar{M}_{uv} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(A9)}$$

Then

$$H_{\alpha\beta} \bar{H}^{\beta\gamma} + N_{v\alpha} \bar{N}^{v\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta} \bar{N}^{v\beta} - N_{u\alpha} \bar{M}^{uv} = 0$$

$$N_{u\beta} \bar{H}^{\beta\gamma} - M_{uv} \bar{N}^{u\gamma} = 0$$

$$N_{u\beta} \bar{N}^{v\beta} + M_{uv} \bar{M}^{wv} = \delta_u^v \quad \text{(A10)}$$

Therefore

$$\bar{N}^{v\beta} = (H^{-1})^{\beta\alpha} N_{u\alpha} \bar{M}^{uv} = (M^{-1})^{uv} N_{u\gamma} \bar{H}^{\gamma\beta} \quad \text{(A11)}$$

$$\bar{H}^{\alpha\beta} = \left[H_{\alpha\beta} + N_{\alpha v} (M^{-1})^{vu} N_{\beta u} \right]^{-1} \quad \text{(A12)}$$

$$\bar{M}^{uv} = \left[M_{uv} + N_{\beta u} (H^{-1})^{\beta\alpha} N_{\alpha v} \right]^{-1} \quad \text{(A13)}$$

We wish to check that both representations of $\bar{N}^{v\beta}$ are equivalent. This means that

$$(H^{-1})^{\beta\alpha} N_{u\alpha} \bar{M}^{uv} = (M^{-1})^{uv} N_{u\gamma} \bar{H}^{\gamma\beta} \quad \text{(A14)}$$

Multiply both sides by $[H_{\beta\delta} + N_{\beta v} (M^{-1})^{vu} N_{\delta u}]$

$$(H^{-1})^{\beta\alpha} N_{\alpha u} \bar{M}^{uv} \left[H_{\beta\delta} + N_{\beta x} (M^{-1})^{xy} N_{\delta y} \right] = (M^{-1})^{vw} N_{\delta w} \quad \text{(A15)}$$

Multiply both sides by $[M_{vz} + N_{\beta v}(H^{-1})^{\beta \alpha} N_{\alpha z}]$

$$- (H^{-1})^{\beta \alpha} N_{\alpha z} \big[H_{\beta \delta} + N_{\beta x} (M^{-1})^{xy} N_{\delta y} \big]$$

$$= (M^{-1})^{vw} N_{\delta w} \big[M_{vz} + N_{\beta v} (H^{-1})^{\beta \alpha} N_{\alpha z} \big] \tag{A16}$$

We must check that

$$(H^{-1})^{\beta \alpha} N_{\alpha z} N_{\beta x} (M^{-1})^{xy} N_{\delta y} = (M^{-1})^{wv} N_{\delta w} N_{\beta v} (H^{-1})^{\beta \alpha} N_{\alpha z} \tag{A17}$$

which is true, because the N's are Grassmann and M antisymmetric.

By the way, the left inverse is given by

$$\mathbf{M}_L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}^{\alpha \beta} & -\bar{N}^{v\alpha} \\ \bar{N}^{u\beta} & \bar{M}^{uv} \end{pmatrix} \tag{A18}$$

Let us suppose we use a supermatrix to implement a change of variables

$$X^r = \mathbf{M}_s^r Y^s \tag{A19}$$

Spelled out in full,

$$x^\alpha = H^{\alpha \beta} y_\beta - N^{v\alpha} \eta_v$$

$$\xi^u = N^{u\beta} y_\beta + M^{uv} \eta_v \tag{A20}$$

From the first equation

$$y = H^{-1}(x + N\eta) \tag{A21}$$

So

$$\xi - NH^{-1}x = (M + NH^{-1}N)\eta \tag{A22}$$

It follows that

$$d^n x d^m \xi = d^m x d^m (\xi - NH^{-1}x)$$

$$= \det (M + NH^{-1}N)^{-1} d^n x d^m \eta$$

$$= \det (M + NH^{-1}N)^{-1} \det (H) d^n y d^m \eta \tag{A23}$$

So, if we define

$$\text{sdet}\mathbf{M} = \det [\mathbf{M}^{\alpha \beta}] \det \big[(\mathbf{M}_R^{-1})^{uv} \big] \tag{A24}$$

then $X = \mathbf{M}Y$ implies $dX = (\text{sdet}\mathbf{M}) \, dY$. Observe that

$$\text{sdet}\mathbf{M}_R^{-1} = (\text{sdet}\mathbf{M})^{-1} \tag{A25}$$

Indeed

$$\text{sdet}\mathbf{M}_R^{-1} = [\det (H + NM^{-1}N)]^{-1} \det (M) \tag{A26}$$

so we need to show that

$$\det (M + NH^{-1}N)^{-1} \det(H) = [\det (H + NM^{-1}N)][\det (M)]^{-1} \quad \text{(A27)}$$

or

$$\det (1 + M^{-1}NH^{-1}N)^{-1} = \det (1 + H^{-1}NM^{-1}N) \quad \text{(A28)}$$

This follows from the N's being Grassmann. To show this, observe that we can always diagonalize H and reduce M to 2×2 blocks, so we may assume that $H^{-1} = h$ is a scalar, $N = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, and

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ -m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(A29)}$$

Observe that

$$NH^{-1}N = h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta_1\theta_2 \\ -\theta_1\theta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(A30)}$$

while $NM^{-1}N = 2m\theta_1\theta_2$, so everything reduces to

$$(1 - mh\theta_1\theta_2)^{-2} = 1 + 2mh\theta_1\theta_2 \quad \text{(A31)}$$

which is true because only the linear term in the Taylor development of the left hand side survives.

We can now prove the Gaussian formula

$$\int dX \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} X\mathbf{M}X \right\} \propto (\text{sdet}\mathbf{M})^{-1/2} \quad \text{(A32)}$$

Let us now compute

$$\langle X^r X^s \rangle \equiv \frac{\int dX X^r X^s \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} X\mathbf{M}X \right\}}{\int dX \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} X\mathbf{M}X \right\}} \quad \text{(A33)}$$

Observe that

$$X^r X^s = X^r \left(\mathbf{M}_L^{-1}\right)^{st} \mathbf{M}_{tu} X^u = \theta^{rs} \theta^{rt} \left(\mathbf{M}_L^{-1}\right)^{st} X^r \mathbf{M}_{tu} X^u \quad \text{(A34)}$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^t} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} X\mathbf{M}X \right\} = \frac{-1}{2} \left[\mathbf{M}_{tu} X^u + \theta^t X^u \mathbf{M}_{ut} \right] \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} X\mathbf{M}X \right\}$$

$$= -\mathbf{M}_{tu} X^u \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} X\mathbf{M}X \right\} \quad \text{(A35)}$$

Finally

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^t} X^r F = \delta_t^r F + \theta^{rt} X^r \frac{\partial F}{\partial X^t} \tag{A36}$$

Putting all together

$$\langle X^r X^s \rangle = \theta^{rs} (\mathbf{M}_L^{-1})^{sr} = \left(\mathbf{M}_r^{-1}\right)^{rs} \tag{A37}$$

This shows in particular that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{rs}} \ln (\text{sdet}\mathbf{M}) = \theta^r \theta^{rs} \left(\mathbf{M}_R^{-1}\right)^{rs} \tag{A38}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

Abbott, L. (1981). The background field method beyond one loop. *Nuclear Physics B* **185**, 189.

Alexandrov, S. (1999). *PRD* **59**, 125016.

Arrizabalaga, A. and Smit, J. (2002). Gauge-fixing dependence of Φ-derivable approximations. *Physical Review D* **66**, 65014.

Baier, R., Kunstatter, G., and Schiff, D. (1992). Gauge dependence of the resummed thermal gluon self-energy. *Nuclear Physics B* **388**, 287.

Bakshi, P. M. and Mahanthappa, K. T. (1963). Expectation value formalism in quantum field theory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics (NY)* **4**, 12.

Barvinsky, A. and Vilkovisky, G. (1987). Beyond the Schwinger—DeWitt technique. *Nuclear Physics B* **282**, 163.

Baym, G. (1962). Self-consistent approximations in many-body systems. *Physical Review* **127**, 1391.

Berges, J. (2004). N-PI effective action techniques for gauge theories. (hep-ph/0401172).

Berezin, F. A. (1966). *The Method of Second Quantization*, Academic Press, New York.

Calzetta E. and Hu, B. L. (1988). Nonequilibrium quantum fields. *Physical Review D* **37**, 2878.

Calzetta, E. and Hu, B. L. (1995). Correlations, decoherence, dissipation and noise in Quantum Field Theory. In *Heat Kernel Techniques and Quantum Gravity*, S. Fulling, ed., Texas A&M Press, College Station. (hep-th/9501040).

Calzetta, E. and Hu, B. L. (2000). Stochastic dynamics of correlations in quantum field theory. *Physical Review D* **61**, 025012.

Carrington, M. E., Kunstatter, G., and Zaraket, H. (2003). 2PI effective action and gauge invariance problems. (hep-ph/0309084).

Chou, K., Su, Z., Hao, B., and Yu, L. (1985). Equilibrium and nonequilibrium formalisms made unified. *Physics Report* **118**, 1.

Cornwall, J., Jackiw, R., and Tomboulis, E. (1974). Effective action for composite operators. *Physical Review D* **10**, 2428.

Dahmen, H. D. and Jona Lasino, G. (1962). *Nuovo Cimento A* **52**, 807.

Danielewicz, P. (1984). Quantum theory of nonequilibrium processes I. *Annals of Physics (NY)* **152**, 239.

de Dominicis, C. and Martin, P. (1964). *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **5**, 14.

DeWitt, B. (1964). Dynamical theory of groups and fields. In *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, C. DeWitt and B. DeWitt eds., Gordon and Breach, New York.

DeWitt, B. (1967). Quantum theory of gravity I: The canonical theory. *Physical Review* **160**, 1113.

DeWitt, B. (1979). The formal structure of quantum gravity. In *Recent Developments in Gravitation*, M. Levy and S. Deser eds., Plenum, New York.

DeWitt, B. (1984). The spacetime approach to quantum field theory. In *Relativity, Groups and Topology II*, B. DeWitt and R. Stora eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam.

DeWitt, B. (1987). The effective action. In *Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Statistics*, I. Batalin, C. Isham, and G. Vilkovisky eds., A. Hilger, Bristol.

DeWitt, B. S. (1981). A gauge invariant effective action. In *Quantum Gravity 2*, C. Isham, R. Penrose and D. Sciama eds., Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, p. 20.

DeWitt, B. and Molina-Paris, C. (1998). Quantum gravity without ghosts. *Modern Physics Letters A* **13**, 2475–2478.

Geiger, K. (1996). Quantum field kinetics of QCD: Quark-gluon transport theory for light-cone-dominated processes. *Physical Review D* **54**, 949.

Geiger, K. (1997). Nonequilibrium QCD: Interplay of hard and soft dynamics in high-energy multigluon beams. *Physical Review D* **56**, 2665.

Geiger, K. (1999). Propagation of gluons from a nonperturbative evolution equation in axial gauges. *Physical Review D* **60**, 034012.

Gerhold, A. and Rebhan, A. (2003). Gauge dependence identities for color superconducting QCD. *Physical Review D* **68**, 011502.

Gomis, J., Paris, J., and Samuel, S. (1995). Antibracket, antifields and gauge theory quantization. *Physics Report* **259**, 1.

Hart, C. F. (1983). *PRD* **28**, 1993.

Hata, H. and Kugo, T. (1980). Operator formalism of statistical mechanics of gauge theory in covariant gauges. *Physical Review D* **21**, 3333.

Kadanoff, L. and Baym, G. (1962). *Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, New York.

Keldysh, L. V. (1964). Diagram technique for nonequilibrium processes. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **47**, 1515. [Sov. Phys. JETP **20**, 1018 (1965)].

Kobes, R. and Kunstatter, G. (1989). The gauge dependence of the gluon damping constant. *Physica A* **158**, 192.

Kobes, R., Kunstatter, G., and Rebhan, A. (1991). Gauge dependence identities and their application at finite temperature. *Nuclear Physics B* **355**, 1.

Kraemmer, U. and Rebhan, A. (2004). Advances in perturbative thermal field theory. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **67**, 351.

Kugo, T. and Ojima, I. (1979). Local covariant operator formalism of non-abelian gauge theories and quark confinement problem. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **66** (Supplement), 1.

Landshoff, P. and Rebhan, A. (1992). Covariant gauges at finite temperature. *Nuclear Physics B* **383**, 607.

Landshoff, P. and Rebhan, A. (1993). Thermalization of longitudinal gluons. *Nuclear Physics B* **410**, 23.

Luttinger, J. and Ward, J. (1960). Ground state energy of a many fermion system II. *Physical Review* **118**, 1417.

Mottola, E. (2003). Gauge invariance in 2PI effective actions. In *Proceedings of SEWM 2002*. (hep-ph/0304279).

Nakanishi, N. (1966). Covariant quantization of the electromagnetic field in the Landau gauge. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **35**, 1111.

Negele, J. and Orland, H. (1998). *Quantum Many-Particle Systems*, Perseus, New York.

Nielsen, N. K. (1975). On the gauge dependence of spontaneous symmetry breaking in gauge theories. *Nuclear Physics B* **101**, 173.

Norton, R. and Cornwall, J. (1975). On the formalism of relativistic many body theory. *Annals of Physics* **91**, 106.

Ojima, I. (1981). Gauge fields at finite temperature—Thermo field dynamics and the KMS condition and their extension to gauge theories. *Annals of Physics (NY)* **137**, 1.

Peskin, M. and Schroeder, D. (1995). *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley, New York.

Rebhan, A. (1987). The Vilkovisky—DeWitt effective action and its application to Yang-Mills theories. *Nuclear Physics B* **288**, 832.

Schwinger, J. (1961). Brownian motion of a quantum oscillator. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **2**, 407.

Son, D. (1997). Effective non-perturbative real-time dynamics of soft modes in hot gauge theories. (hep-ph/9707351).

Vanderheyden, B. and Baym, G. (1998). Self-consistent approximations in relativistic plasmas: Quasi-particle analysis of the thermodynamic properties. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **98**, 843.

Vanderheyden, B. and Baym, G. (2000). Self-consistent approximations: Application to a quasiparticle description of the thermodynamic properties of relativistic plasmas. In *Progress in Nonequilibrium Green's Functions*, M. Bonitz, ed., World Scientific, Singapore. (hep-ph/0002291).

Vilkovisky, G. A. (1984). The Gospel according to DeWitt. In *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, S. M. Christensen ed., Hilger, Bristol.

Weinberg, S. (1996). *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol II*, Cambridge University Press, England.

Weinberg, S. (2000). *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol III*, Cambridge University Press, England.

Zinn-Justin, J. (1989). *Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.